

From: [Ron Gouquet](#)
To: [Robert Neely](#); [Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [Burt Shephard/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [Joe Goulet/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [Chip Humphrey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#)
Subject: June 28
Date: 06/22/2006 01:25 PM

Hi y'all:
Have started fielding question re: June 28th: Lamprey and Sturgeon Meeting - Joint NRDA/RI/FS:
This meeting is a follow-up to the meeting held on June 8, 2006.

One strong proposal is that this meeting proceeds, we limit discussion strictly to the ammocoete sampling, ammocoete toxicity sensitivity comparison and sampling 25-30, 10-152 cm white sturgeon for whole body. Additionally, any final discussions on a pilot project SOW (deepwater ammocoete electroschocking suction sampling device construction and testing) should be finalized. So we continue discussion of how to do the things we've agreed on and set as out of bounds (for this conversation/meeting) further discussion on acoustic tracking & other potentially jointly useful investigations. May be some utility in discussing what might trigger additional "work" to refine lamprey/sturgeon exposure estimates, say if ammocoete sensitivity is much greater than rainbow trout, Pimephales, Lepomis, etc.

Maybe a couple interim steps re: making screening assumptions more realistic, before going to site used quantification and documentation that a decent 2-d acoustic tracking study could provide. E.g., Luxon suggested that lamprey adults @ 33% (1 year in ISA & 2 years @ sea) could be considered.

Anyway, what's the playing field look like today, after Dan's, Sylvia's & the Tribes' meeting yesterday?

Ron